

Draft

IDAHO-MARYLAND MINE PROJECT

Environmental Impact Report
SCH No. 2007092017

Prepared for:
City of Grass Valley
Planning Department

October 2008



Draft

IDAHO-MARYLAND MINE PROJECT

Environmental Impact Report
SCH No. 2007092017

Prepared for:
City of Grass Valley
Planning Department

October 2008

225 Bush Street
Suite 1700
San Francisco, CA 94104
415.896.5900
www.esassoc.com

Los Angeles

Oakland

Olympia

Petaluma

Portland

Sacramento

San Diego

Seattle

Tampa

Woodland Hills

205379

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Idaho-Maryland Mine Project

Draft Environmental Impact Report

	<u>Page</u>
Executive Summary	ES-1
1.0 Introduction and Environmental Review Process.....	1-1
1.1 History and Overview of Proposed Project	1-1
1.2 Project Objectives.....	1-5
1.3 Agency Use of This Document	1-5
1.4 Issues Considered and Found Not to be Significant.....	1-6
1.5 Reader's Guide to This EIR	1-9
2.0 Project Description	2-1
2.1 Introduction	2-1
2.2 Project Objectives.....	2-3
2.3 Project Location	2-3
2.4 Existing Land Uses	2-4
2.5 Proposed Annexation and General Plan Zoning Amendments.....	2-4
2.6 Proposed Project Components.....	2-6
2.7 Construction Workers and Schedule	2-23
2.8 Mining Operations and Associated Processes	2-23
2.9 Reclamation.....	2-40
2.10 Applicant Proposed Measures.....	2-42
2.11 Required Permits and Approvals	2-52
3.0 Alternatives and Cumulative Projects.....	3-1
3.1 Alternatives Development and Screening Process.....	3-1
3.2 Alternatives Screening Methodology	3-1
3.3 Summary of Screening Results	3-4
3.4 Alternatives Evaluated in this EIR.....	3-7
3.5 Alternatives Eliminated from Full EIR Evaluation.....	3-12
3.6 Cumulative Projects.....	3-13
4.0 Environmental Analysis	4-1
4.1 Aesthetics	4-1-1
4.2 Air Quality	4-2-1
4.3 Biological Resources	4-3-1
4.4 Cultural Resources	4-4-1
4.5 Geology, Soils, and Seismicity	4-5-1
4.6 Hazards and Hazardous Materials.....	4-6-1
4.7 Hydrology and Water Quality	4-7-1
4.8 Land Use and Planning	4-8-1

	<u>Page</u>
4.0 Environmental Analysis (cont.)	
4.9 Noise	4.9-1
4.10 Population and Housing.....	4.10-1
4.11 Public Services	4.11-1
4.12 Recreation	4.12-1
4.13 Transportation and Traffic.....	4.13-1
4.14 Utilities and Services Systems.....	4.14-1
4.15 Energy	4.15-1
5.0 Comparison of Alternatives	5-1
5.1 Comparison Methodology.....	5-1
5.2 Evaluation of Project Alternatives	5-2
5.3 Environmentally Superior Alternative.....	5-22
5.4 No Project Alternative vs. the Environmentally Superior Alternative.....	5-25
6.0 CEQA Statutory Sections.....	6-1
6.1 Growth-Inducement and Secondary Effects of Growth.....	6-1
6.2 Significant Irreversible Changes	6-14
6.3 Cumulative Impacts	6-16
7.0 Report Preparers.....	7-1
8.0 Mitigation Monitoring, Reporting, and Compliance Program	8-1
8.1 Introduction	8-1
8.2 City of Grass Valley – MMRCP Authority.....	8-1
8.3 Mitigation Monitoring, Reporting and Compliance Program.....	8-5
Appendices	
A. Scoping Report	A-1
B. Air Quality	B-1
B.1 Criteria Pollutant Analysis – Construction and Operations: URBEMIS2007 Model Results	
B.2 Criteria Pollutant Analysis – Construction and Operations: EMFAC2007 Model Results	
B.3 Criteria Pollutant Analysis – Construction and Operations: EMFAC2007 Model Results	
B.4 AMEC Air Quality Report (2008a) Existing and Projected Air Emissions from the Proposed Action Draft EIR	
B.5 AMEC Air Quality Report (2008b) Diesel Emissions Mitigation Measures Memorandum	
B.6 Health Risk Assessment	
B.7 Indirect Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Electricity Generation	
C. Biological Resources	C-1
C.1 USFWS Special Status Species List	
C.2 WRA Wetland Delineation	
D. Cultural Resources Native American Consultation Letters	D-1
E. Geologic Evaluation for the Idaho-Maryland Mine Project	E-1
F. Hydrology and Water Quality	F-1
F.1 Surface Water Quality Monitoring Results for Wolf Creek and South Fork Wolf Creek	

Page**Appendices (continued)**

F.	Hydrology and Water Quality (cont.)	
F.2	Hydrogeological Overview of the Idaho-Maryland Mine for the 2008 Environmental Impact Review Process	
F.3	Geochemistry and Water Quality Impacts at the Idaho- Maryland Mine, Nevada County, CA	
F.4	Idaho-Maryland Mine Project: South Fork Wolf Creek Discharge and Sediment Transport Analysis	
G.	Blasting Impacts Assessment for Proposed Idaho-Maryland Mine Project	G-1
H.	Idaho-Maryland Mine Economic and Fiscal Analysis	H-1
I.	Idaho-Maryland Mine Project Traffic Study	I-1

List of Figures

2-1	Project Location	2-2
2-2	Idaho-Maryland Mine Site Plan	2-9
2-3	Idaho-Maryland Mine Site Grading Plan	2-14
2-4	Idaho-Maryland Mine Site Surface Features	2-17
2-5	Round Hole Site Plan	2-19
2-6	New Brunswick Site Plan and Cross Sections	2-22
2-7	Phase I Construction	2-26
2-8	Phase II Construction	2-27
2-9	Phase III Construction	2-28
2-10	Sodium Cyanide Closed Processing Circuit	2-31
2-11	Idaho-Maryland Site Reclamation Plan	2-41
2-12	Round Hole Reclamation Plan	2-43
2-13	New Brunswick Site Reclamation Plan	2-44
2-14	Applicant Proposed Measure	2-49
3-1	Cumulative Projects	3-28
3-2	City of Grass Valley Special Development Areas	3-29
4.1-1	Photo Viewpoint Locations of Existing Conditions	4.1-3
4.1-2	View from State Route 20/49 Southbound Looking South	4.1-4
4.1-3	State Route 20/49 Northbound Looking Northeast	4.1-4
4.1-4	State Route 20/49 Northbound Looking East	4.1-4
4.1-5	State Route 20/49 Northbound Looking North	4.1-4
4.1-6	View from Idaho Maryland Rd. Looking Southeast	4.1-5
4.1-7	View from Idaho Maryland Road Looking Southwest	4.1-5
4.1-8	View from Empire Mine State Historic Park, Indian Ridge Trail Looking North	4.1-5
4.1-9	View from Empire Mine State Historic Park, Pipeline Trail Looking North	4.1-5
4.1-10	Spring Hill Drive Looking South	4.1-6
4.1-11	Centennial Dr. at Whispering Pines Ln. Looking Southwest	4.1-6
4.1-12	Brunswick Rd. at E. Bennett Rd. Looking Southwest	4.1-6
4.1-13	Existing Buildings in Project Vicinity	4.1-6
4.1-14	Visual Simulation Photo Viewpoint Locations	4.1-14
4.1-15a	Existing View from Highway 49 Northbound Looking East	4.1-15
4.1-15b	Visual Simulation of Proposed Project	4.1-15
4.1-16a	Existing View from Idaho Maryland Road Looking Southeast	4.1-16
4.1-16b	Visual Simulation of Proposed Project	4.1-16
4.1-17a	Artistic-Rendering of the Idaho-Maryland Site	4.1-17

List of Figures (continued)

4.1-17b Photo-Rendering of the Idaho-Maryland Site	4.1-18
4.1-18a Artistic-Rendering of the Idaho-Maryland Site.....	4.1-19
4.1-18b Photo-Rendering of the Idaho-Maryland Site.....	4.1-20
4.1-19a Artistic-Rendering of the Idaho-Maryland Site.....	4.1-21
4.1-19b Photo-Rendering of the Idaho-Maryland Site.....	4.1-22
4.1-20a Artistic-Rendering of the Round Hole Site.....	4.1-23
4.1-20b Photo-Rendering of the Round Hole Site.....	4.1-24
4.1-21 Artistic-Rendering of the New Brunswick Site.....	4.1-25
4.1-22 Main Entrance.....	4.1-33
4.1-23 Education Center	4.1-34
4.1-24 Shaft Area.....	4.1-35
4.3-1 Idaho-Maryland Site Vegetation Communities.....	4.3-3
4.3-2 New Brunswick Site Vegetation Communities	4.3-4
4.3-3 Round Hole Site Vegetation Communities.....	4.3-5
4.3-4 Idaho-Maryland Site Jurisdictional Features	4.3-13
4.3-5 New Brunswick Site Jurisdictional Features	4.3-15
4.3-6 CNDDDB Occurrences in the Vicinity of the Project Area	4.3-23
4.3-7 Idaho-Maryland Site Sensitive Species.....	4.3-24
4.4-1 New Brunswick Site – Location of Historic Resources.....	4.4-12
4.5-1 Geologic Map.....	4.5-8
4.7-1 Natural Mine Water Discharge Locations and Surface Water Monitoring Locations on Wolf Creek and South Fork Wolf Creek.....	4.7-2
4.7-2 Conceptual Model of Groundwater Flow.....	4.7-10
4.7-3 Areas of Potential Impacts from Dewatering.....	4.7-30
4.7-4 New Brunswick Site – Surface Water Discharge Location.....	4.7-39
4.8-1 Nevada County Existing General Plan Land Use Designations.....	4.8-3
4.8-2 Nevada County Existing Zoning Designations	4.8-5
4.8-3 City of Grass Valley Annexation Plan Planned Annexations.....	4.8-6
4.8-4 City of Grass Valley Existing General Plan Land Use Designations	4.8-8
4.8-5 City of Grass Valley Existing Zoning Designations	4.8-13
4.8-6 Proposed Annexations.....	4.8-19
4.8-7 Proposed City of Grass Valley General Plan Land Use Designations	4.8-21
4.8-8 Proposed City of Grass Valley Zoning Designation for the Idaho-Maryland Site.....	4.8-24
4.9-1 Effect of Noise on People	4.9-2
4.9-2 Land Use Compatibility for Community Noise Environment.....	4.9-7
4.9-3 Noise Measurement and Sensitive Receptor Locations.....	4.9-13
4.9-4 Site 1: Behind Building 4 of Hospital, Above Highway, Tuesday, November 27, 2007	4.9-15
4.9-5 Site 1: Behind Building 4 of Hospital, Above Highway, Wednesday, November 28, 2007	4.9-15
4.9-6 Site 2: 50 Feet from the Center of 12481 East Bennett Road Tuesday, November 27, 2007	4.9-16
4.9-7 Site 2: 50 Feet from the Center of 12481 East Bennett Road Wednesday, November 28, 2007	4.9-16
4.9-8 Site 3: Orphir and Bennett, 50 Feet from Center of Bennett Road Tuesday, November 27, 2007	4.9-17
4.9-9 Site 3: Orphir and Bennett, 50 Feet from the Center of Bennett Road Wednesday, November 28, 2007	4.9-17

Page**List of Tables**

ES-1	Summary of Project Components	ES-3
ES-2	Summary of Potential Permit Requirements	ES-5
ES-3	Public Information Workshops	ES-6
ES-4	Proposed Project vs. Alternatives – Summary of Environmental Impact Conclusions	ES-28
ES-5	Summary of Impacts and Mitigation for the Proposed Project	ES-29
1-1	Summary of Potential Permit Requirements	1-7
2-1	Idaho-Maryland Site Facilities Proposed for Construction	2-11
2-2	Heavy-Duty Construction Equipment	2-15
2-3	Project Phases and Estimated Construction Personnel	2-15
2-4	New Brunswick Site Facilities Proposed for Construction	2-21
2-5	Building Construction Grouped by Phase	2-24
2-6	Building Construction Grouped by Site	2-25
2-7	Operational Details	2-39
2-8	Estimated Heavy Truck Equipment Usage for Construction of Water Pipeline	2-51
2-9	Summary of Potential Permit Requirements	2-53
3-1	Summary of Alternatives Screening Analysis	3-5
3-2	Cumulative Projects Scenario	3-15
4.2-1	Air Quality Data Summary (2002 - 2006)	4.2-2
4.2-2	State and National Criteria Air Pollutant Standards, Effects, and Sources	4.2-9
4.2-3	Nevada County Attainment Status	4.2-10
4.2-4	Recommended AB32 Greenhouse Gas Measures to be Initiated by ARB Between 2007 and 2012	4.2-13
4.2-5	NSAQMD Emission Significance Thresholds	4.2-18
4.2-6	Project Construction and Operation Emissions	4.2-21
4.2-7	Estimated Carbon Monoxide Concentrations at Selected Intersections in Project Vicinity	4.2-29
4.2-8	Project Construction and Operation CO ₂ e Emissions	4.2-31
4.3-1	Study Area Wildlife Habitat/Vegetation Communities	4.3-2
4.3-2	Special-Status Species Potentially Occurring in the Study Area	4.3-18
4.3-3	Permanent Impacts to Potentially Jurisdictional Features	4.3-36
4.4-1	Known Cultural Resources in the Area of Potential Effect	4.4-10
4.5-1	Properties and Location of Site Soils	4.5-4
4.5-2	Regional Fault Zones in the Vicinity of the Proposed Project Sites	4.5-7
4.7-1	Summary of Water Quality, New Brunswick Shaft Monitoring: January 4, 2006	4.7-13
4.7-2	Summary of Sediment Transport Results	4.7-40
4.7-3	Summary of Hydrologic and Hydraulic Modeling Results	4.7-45
4.9-1	Exterior Noise Limits	4.9-9
4.9-2	Noise Level Performance Standards for Fixed Noise Sources	4.9-11
4.9-3	Maximum Allowable Noise Exposures for Transportation Noise Sources	4.9-11
4.9-4	Existing Noise Environments at Proposed Project Location	4.9-14
4.9-5	Thresholds of Significance for Noise Exposure	4.9-19
4.9-6	Typical Construction Noise Levels	4.9-20
4.9-7	Typical Noise Levels from Construction Equipment	4.9-20
4.9-8	Predicted Unmitigated Project Noise Levels (Idaho-Maryland Site)	4.9-23
4.9-9	Project Facility Equipment and Noise Control Measures	4.9-24
4.9-10	Peak-Hour Traffic Noise Levels Along Roadways in the Project Vicinity	4.9-26

List of Tables (continued)

4.9-11	Peak-Hour Traffic Noise Levels Along Roadways in the Project Vicinity	4.9-31
4.10-1	Labor Force Statistics	4.10-2
4.10-2	Existing Housing Stock – 2000	4.10-3
4.13-1	Definitions for Intersection Level of Service	4.13-6
4.13-2	Level of Service (LOS) Criteria for Roadways	4.13-7
4.13-3	Existing Intersection Level of Service (LOS) and Delay	4.13-8
4.13-4	Existing Conditions: SR 49/SR 20 Freeway Mainline LOS	4.13-9
4.13-5	Existing Conditions: SR 49 Ramp Junctions Levels of Service.....	4.13-9
4.13-6	Approved Projects Trip Generation.....	4.13-17
4.13-7	Project Construction Phasing and Production.....	4.13-18
4.13-8	Daily Project Trip Generation Summary.....	4.13-18
4.13-9	Peak Hour Project Trip Generation Summary.....	4.13-19
4.13-10	Short Range Cumulative AM and PM Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service (LOS) and Delay	4.13-21
4.13-11	Short Range Cumulative AM and PM Peak Hour Signal Warrant Analysis	4.13-22
4.13-12	Short Range Cumulative AM and PM Peak Hour SR 49/Sr 20 Freeway Mainline LOS	4.13-25
4.13-13	Short Range Cumulative AM and PM Peak Hour SR 49/SR 20 Ramp Junction LOS	4.13-26
4.13-14	Long Range Cumulative AM and PM Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service (LOS) and Delay	4.13-27
4.13-15	Long Range Cumulative AM and PM Peak Hour Signal Warrant Analysis	4.13-28
4.13-16	Long Range Cumulative AM and PM Peak Hour SR 49/SR 20 Freeway Mainline LOS	4.13-33
4.13-17	Long Range Cumulative AM and PM Peak Hour SR 49/SR 20 Ramp Junction LOS	4.13-34
4.13-18	Number of Construction Workers and Daily Vehicle Trips Per Phase	4.13-38
4.13-19	Construction Worker Parking	4.13-39
4.13-20	Calculated Traffic Index (TI) for Project Haul Routes.....	4.13-43
4.10-3	Proposed Project Population Projections.....	4.10-7
4.12-1	City of Grass Valley-Owned Park/Recreation Facilities	4.12-1
4.14-1	Projected Connections for Nevada Irrigation District	4.14-2
4.15-1	PG&E’s Electricity Delivery to Nevada County 2002 – 2007.....	4.15-2
4.15-2	PG&E’s Natural Gas Delivery 2002 – 2006	4.15-4
4.15-3	PG&E’s Natural Gas Delivery to Nevada County 2002 – 2007.....	4.15-5
4.15-4	Estimated Existing Annual Electricity Usage at Project Sites.....	4.15-6
4.15-5	Estimated Petroleum Fuel Consumption.....	4.15-16
4.15-6	Estimated Electricity and Natural Gas Usage of Related Projects.....	4.15-19
5-1	Summary of Significant Unmitigable (Class I) Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Project and Alternatives.....	5-3
5-2	Proposed Project vs. Alternatives – Comparative Evaluation	5-23
6-1	Population Projections (1990-2020).....	6-2
6-2	Idaho-Maryland Mine Employment by Project Activity and Phase	6-9
6-3	Proposed Housing Units for the Special Development Areas	6-12
8-1	Mitigation Monitoring, Reporting and Compliance Program for Idaho-Maryland Mine Project.....	8-6